



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

08/881,509

ATION NUMBER FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

	_	
	EXAMINER	
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		32
	DATE MAN ED	
INTERVIEW SUMMARY	DATE MAILED:	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Carpenter trobert. (3) (2) Amy De Clow (4)		
$(2) \longrightarrow (3) \longrightarrow (4)$		
Date of Interview 2 - 1 - U 2		
Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant	t Pannlicant's represent	tativo)
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If yes, brief description:		
Tes End if yes, blief description:		
Agreement was reached.		
Claim(s) discussed: 2, 4-7, 7/	_	
Claim(s) discussed: 2, 4-7, 76, 4547 Identification of prior art discussed: -nut applicable		
- separation C		
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any of	other comments:	
Discussed 112 lst paragraph	1354 2>	
	2	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the exami must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allow attached.)	iner agreed would render th wable is available, a summ	ne claims allowable ary thereof must be
1 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the inter	view	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTE IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	EN REPLY TO THE LAST	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.		,

any De Elong 2-1-02

Interview Summary

Application No. 08/881,509

Applicant(s)

Schendel

Examiner

DeCloux, Amy

Group Art Unit 1644



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) DeCloux Amy
(2) Croshy Hone
Date of Interview Mar 28, 2001
Type: XTelephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes M. If yes, brief description:
Agreement Xwas reached. was not reached.
Claim(s) discussed: <u>nonelected claims</u>
Identification of prior art discussed: sequence search
Examiner told applicant that the examiner's amendment would be entered and that a search of the active claims would be done. Upon further searching, examiner notified applicant that a non-final office action would follow.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable s available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
1. 💢 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST DEFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 13.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS NTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.
Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the last

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above